arXiv:math/0003058v1 [math.QA] 9 Mar 2000

QUANTUM CO-ADJOINT ORBITS OF MD_4-GROUPS.

NGUYEN VIET HAI

Abstract. Using $\star$-product on Co-adjoint orbits (K-orbits) of the MD_4- groups we obtain quantum half-planes, quantum hyperbolic cylinders, quantum hyperbolic paraboloids...via Fedosov deformation quantization. From this we have corresponding unitary representations of the MD_4- groups. Particularly, for groups $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,3(\varphi)}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,4}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,4(\varphi)}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{4,4,1}$, which are neither nilpotent nor exponential , we obtain the explicit formulas.

1. Introduction

First of all, we recall the notion of K-action (see [Ki1]). Let us denote by G a connected and simply connected Lie group, its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = T_e\,\mathrm{G}$ as the tangent space at the neutral element e. It is easy to see that to each element $g \in \mathrm{G}$ one can associate a map

$$A(g) : \mathrm{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{G}$$

by conjugacy, in fixing the neutral element $e \in \mathrm{G}$. Therefore, there is a corresponding tangent map

$$A(g)_* : \mathfrak{g} = T_e\,\mathrm{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} = T_e\,\mathrm{G}$$

$$X \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \frac{d}{dt} g \exp(tX) g^{-1}|_{t=0} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

This defines an action, denoted as usually by Ad, of group G in its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We define the co-adjoint action of group G in the dual vector space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ by the formula:

$$\langle K(g)F, X\rangle := \langle F, Ad(g^{-1})X\rangle$$

for all $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*, X \in \mathfrak{g}, g \in \mathrm{G}$. It is easy to check that this defines an action of G on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. As an easy consequence, the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is decomposed into a disconnected sum of the K-orbits. In 1980 Do Ngoc Diep introduced the notion of the MD-groups, MD-algebras and then Le Anh Vu gave a complete classification of the MD_4-groups (see [D]). In [DH1], [DH2] and [H3] we obtained the exact formulae of deformation quantization and therefore performed the corresponding quantun coadjoint orbits for the $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$-groups (i.e. the groups, every K-orbit of which is of dimension, equal 0 or dim G) and for the real diamond Lie group. In this article, applying the procedure

---

Date: Version of March 10, 2000.

Key words and phrases. Moyal $\star$-product, MD_4-group, quantum half-plans, quantum rotation paraboloids, quantum hyperbolic cylinders, quantum hyperbolic paraboloids.

This work was supported in part by the Vietnam National Foundation for Fundamental Science Research; Typeset LaTeX.

of deformation quantization we shall obtain quantum co-adjoint orbits of all the MD_4-groups.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the basic definitions, preliminary results. Each adapted chart that carries the Moyal $\star$-product from $\mathbb{R}^2$ onto co-adjoint orbits Ω_F of the exponential MD_4-groups, in particular, Hamiltonian functions in canonical coordinates, are introduced in section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the following groups

$$\mathrm{G}_{4,2,3(\frac{\pi}{2})}; \mathrm{G}_{4,2,4}; \mathrm{G}_{4,3,4(\frac{\pi}{2})}; \mathrm{G}_{4,4,1}\,.$$

By direct computations and by exponentiating we obtain the corresponding unitary representations of the MD_4-groups.

2. Basic definitions and Preliminary results

DEFINITION 2.0.1. *(*[D]*) We say that a solvable Lie group* G *belongs to the class* MD *if and only if every its K-orbit has dimension 0 or maximal. A Lie algebra is of class* MD *if and only if its corresponding Lie group is of the same class.*

We also recall the following results for MD_4-algebras (i.e. $dim\ \mathfrak{g} = 4$):

Theorem 2.0.2. *Assume $\mathfrak{g}$ is a MD_4-algebra with generators X, Y, Z, T, then*

I. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable then it is of the form*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$$

for n=1,2,3,4 and some indecomposable ideal $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

II. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable then $\mathfrak{g}$ is of class MD_4 if and only if it is generated by the generators X, Y, Z, T with only non-trivial commutation relation which is one of following relations defined in each case:*

1. $\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathbb{R}Z \cong \mathbb{R}$, *and*
   1.1 $[T, X] = Z$ $(\mathfrak{g}_{4,1,1})$
   1.2 $[T, Z] = Z$ $(\mathfrak{g}_{4,1,2})$
2. $\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z \cong \mathbb{R}^2$, *and*
   2.1 $[T, Y] = \lambda Y, [T, Z] = Z; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R}\backslash(0)$ $(\mathfrak{g}_{4,2,1(\lambda)})$
   2.2 $[T, Y] = Y; [T, Z] = Y + Z$ $(\mathfrak{g}_{4,2,2})$
   2.3 $\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} cos\varphi & sin\varphi & 0 \\ -sin\varphi & cos\varphi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$ $(\mathfrak{g}_{4,2,3(\varphi)})$
   2.4 $\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathrm{ad}_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $(\mathfrak{g}_{4,2,4}) = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}))$
3. $\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathbb{R}X + \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z$, *and*
   3.1 $\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*$ $(\mathfrak{g}_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)})$

3.2 $\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ $\qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,3,2(\lambda)})$

3.3 $\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,3,3})$

3.4 $\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} cos\varphi & sin\varphi & 0 \\ -sin\varphi & cos\varphi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*, \varphi \in (0, \pi)$ $\qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,3,4(\lambda)})$

4. $\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathbb{R}X + \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$ *-the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra, and*

4.1 $\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, [X, Y] = Z$ $\qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,4,1} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{R} \ltimes_j \mathbb{H}_3))$

4.2 $\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, [X, Y] = Z$ $\qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,4,2} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3))$

(In this case the group is called the real diamond Lie group, see[H3]*)*

Until now we fix a MD$_4$- algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with the standard basis X,Y,Z,T. It is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^4$ as vector spaces. The coordinates in this standard basis is denoted by (a,b,c,d). We identify its dual vector spase $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathbb{R}^4$ with the help of the dual basis X^*, Y^*, Z^*, T^* and with the local coordinates $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. Thus, for all $U \in \mathfrak{g}, U = aX + bY + cZ + dT$ and for all $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*, F = \alpha X^* + \beta Y^* + \gamma Z^* + \delta T^*$. Finally, Ω_F is the co-adjoint orbit passing through $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Theorem 2.0.3. *(The Picture of Co-adjoint Orbit)*[D]

1.1 *Case* G = G$_{4,1,1}$

i. *Each point F with the coordinate $\gamma = 0$ is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit:*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\alpha,\beta,0,\delta)}$$

ii. *The subset $\gamma \neq 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits:*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\beta,\gamma\neq 0)} = \{(\alpha + \gamma d, \beta, \gamma, -\gamma a + \delta)\} = \{(x, \beta, \gamma, t) | x, t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \tag{1}$$

which are **planes.**

1.2. *Case* G = G$_{4,1,2}$

i. *Each point F with the coordinate $\gamma = 0$ is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit:*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\alpha,\beta,0,\delta)}$$

ii. *The subset* $\gamma \neq 0$ *is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits:*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma e^d, -\gamma c \sum_1^\infty \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} + \delta\} = \{(\alpha, \beta, z, t) | z, t \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma z > 0\} \tag{2}$$

which are **half-planes**, *parameterized by the coordinates* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

2.1 *Case* $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{G}_{4,2,1(\lambda)}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$

i. *Each point on the plane* $\beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit:*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\alpha,0,0,\delta)}$$

ii. *The open set* $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ *is decomposed into the union of 2-dimensional* **cylinders**

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha, \beta e^{s\lambda}, \gamma e^s, t) | s, t \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{3}$$

2.2 *Case* $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{G}_{4,2,2}$

i. *Each point on the plane* $\beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit:*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\alpha,0,0,\delta)}$$

ii. *The open set* $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ *is decomposed into the union of 2-dimensional* **cylinders***:*

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha, \beta e^s, \beta s e^s + \gamma e^s, t) | s, t \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{4}$$

2.3 *Case* $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{G}_{4,2,3(\varphi)}$ *with* $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$. *We identify* $\mathfrak{g}^*_{4,2,3(\varphi)}$ *with* $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ *and* $F = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ *with* $(\alpha, \beta + i\gamma, \delta)$. *Then,*

i. *Each point* $(\alpha, 0, \delta)$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit:*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\alpha,0+i0,\delta)}$$

ii. *The open set* $\beta + i\gamma \neq 0$ *is decomposed into the union of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits:*

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha, (\beta + i\gamma)e^{se^{i\varphi}}, t) | s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \tag{5}$$

which are also **cylinders.**

2.4 *Case* $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{G}_{4,2,4} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}}(\mathbb{C})$

i. *Each point* $(\alpha, 0, 0, \delta)$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit:*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\alpha,0,0,\delta)}$$

ii. *The open set* $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ *is the single 4-dimensional co-adjoint orbit:*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\beta^2+\gamma^2)\neq 0} = \{(x, y, z, t) | y^2 + z^2 \neq 0\} = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R} \tag{6}$$

3.1 *Case* G *is one of the groups* $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$, $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,2(\lambda)}$ *or* $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,3}$

i. *Each point* $F = \delta T^*$ *on the line* $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit.*

ii. *The open set* $\alpha^2+\beta^2+\gamma^2 \neq 0$ *is decomposed into a family of co-adjoint orbits which are* **cylinders**, *coressponding to the groups* $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$,$\mathrm{G}_{4,3,2(\lambda)}$ *or* $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,3}$*:*

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha e^{s\lambda_1}, \beta e^{s\lambda_2}, \gamma e^s, t) | s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{7}$$

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha e^{s\lambda}, \alpha s e^{s\lambda} + \beta e^{s\lambda}, \gamma e^s, t) | s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{8}$$

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha e^{s\lambda}, \alpha s e^s + \beta e^s, \frac{1}{2}\alpha s^2 e^s + \beta s e^s + \gamma e^s, t) | s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{9}$$

3.4 *Case* $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{G}_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}$ *for* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$. *We identify* $\mathfrak{g}^*_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}$ *with* $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ *and* $F = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ *with* $(\alpha + i\beta, \gamma, \delta)$. *Then,*

i. *Each point of the line defined by the condition* $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(0,0,\delta)} = \{(0 + i.0, 0, \delta)\}.$$

ii. *The open set* $|\alpha + i\beta|^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ *is decomposed into an union of co-adjoint orbits, which are* **cylinders**

$$\Omega_F = \{((\alpha + i\beta)e^{se^{i\varphi}}, \gamma e^{s\lambda}, t) | s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{10}$$

4.1 *Case* $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{G}_{4,4,1} = \mathbb{R} \ltimes_j \mathfrak{h}_3$

i. *Each point of the line defined by the conditions* $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(0,0,0,\delta)} = \{(0, 0, 0, \delta)\}.$$

ii. *The set* $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0, \gamma = 0$ *is the union of 2- dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are* **rotation cylinders**

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha cos\theta - \beta sin\theta, \alpha sin\theta + \beta cos\theta, 0, t) | \theta, t \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{11}$$

i.e

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, y, 0, t) | x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2; \quad x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

iii. *The open set* $\gamma \neq 0$ *is decomposed into a union of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits*

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, y, \gamma, t) | x^2 + y^2 - 2\gamma t = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2\gamma\delta; \quad x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \tag{12}$$

which are **rotation paraboloids**

4.2 *Case* $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{G}_{4,4,2} = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3$, *the real diamond group (see* [H3]*)*

i. *Each point of the line* $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{(0,0,0,\delta)}$$

ii. *The set* $\alpha \neq 0, \beta = \gamma = 0$ *is union of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits ,which are just* **half-planes**

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, 0, 0, t) \quad | \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha x > 0\} \tag{13}$$

iii. *The set* $\alpha = \gamma = 0, \beta \neq 0$ *is union of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just* **half-planes**

$$\Omega_F = \{(0, y, 0, t) \quad | \quad y, t \in \mathbb{R}, \beta y > 0\}. \tag{14}$$

iv. *The set* $\alpha\beta \neq 0, \gamma = 0$ *is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just* **hyperbolic-cylinders**

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, y, 0, t) \quad | x, y, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \& \quad \alpha x > 0, \beta y > 0, xy = \alpha\beta\}. \tag{15}$$

v. *The open set* $\gamma \neq 0$ *is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits , which are just* **hyperbolic- paraboloids**

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, y, \gamma, t) \quad | x, y, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \& \quad xy - \alpha\beta = \gamma(t - \delta)\}. \tag{16}$$

Thus, we have 15 family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits and a 4-dimensional co-adjoint orbit $\Omega_F \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*$. They are strictly homogeneous symplectic manifolds with a flat action.

Deformation of Poisson brackets and associative algebras of C^∞-functions on symplectic manifold (classical phase spaces) permit an autonomous quantization theory without need for Hilbert space operators. This theory is based on the of $\star$-products introduced by Flato and Lichnerowicz (see for instance [AC1]). Let us denote by Λ the 2-tensor associated with the Kirillov standard form $\omega = dp \wedge dq$ in canonical Darboux coordinates. We consider the well-known Moyal $\star$-product of two smooth functions $u, v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see e.g [DH1], [H3]), defined by

$$u \star v = u.v + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r!} (\frac{1}{2i})^r P^r(u, v),$$

where

$$P^1(u, v) = \{u, v\}$$

$$P^r(u, v) := \Lambda^{i_1 j_1} \Lambda^{i_2 j_2} \dots \Lambda^{i_r j_r} \partial^r_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} u \partial^r_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_r} v,$$

with

$$\partial^r_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} := \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_r}}; \quad x := (p, q) = (p_1, \dots, p_n, q^1, \dots, q^n).$$

It is well-known that this series converges in the Schwartz distribution spaces $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark that the MD$_4$-groups are not all nilpotent or exponential groups. In the most general context, some quantum co-adjoint orbits appeared in [AC1][AC2]. However, it is difficult to calculate precisely the $\star$-product in concrete cases. In this article we will give explicit formulas for co-adjoint orbits of all MD$_4$-groups, even for groups which are neither nilpotent nor exponential.

3. QUANTUM CO-ADJOINT ORBITS OF THE EXPONENTIAL MD$_4$-GROUPS.

In this all section, we denote by G one of the following groups: (with $\varphi \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$) $\mathrm{G}_{4,1,1}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,1,2}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,1(\lambda)}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,2}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,3(\varphi)}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,2(\lambda)}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,3}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}$; $\mathrm{G}_{4,4,2}$.

3.1. **Hamiltonian functions in canonical coordinates of Ω_F.** Each element $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be considered as a linear function $\widetilde{A}$ on co-adjoint orbits ($\subset \mathfrak{g}^*$): $\widetilde{A}(F') := \langle F', A\rangle, \quad F' \in \Omega_F$. It is well-known that this function is the Hamiltonian function associated with the Hamiltonian vector field ξ_A, is defined on Ω_F by

$$(\xi_A f)(x) := \frac{d}{dt} f(x \exp(tA))|_{t=0}, \forall f \in C^\infty(\Omega_F).$$

The Kirillov form ω_F is defined by the formula

$$\omega_F(\xi_A, \xi_B) = \langle F, [A, B]\rangle, \forall A, B \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{17}$$

Denote by ψ the indicated symplectomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^2$ onto Ω_F.

$$(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \psi(p, q) \in \Omega_F.$$

Proposition 3.1.1. *Each nontrivial orbit $\Omega_F \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ of the co-adjoint representation of* G*, admits a global diffeomorphism ψ*

$$\psi : \qquad (p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \longmapsto \psi(p, q) \in \Omega_F$$

such that:

i. *Hamiltonian function $\widetilde{A} = \langle F', A\rangle, \quad (F' \in \Omega_F; A \in \mathfrak{g})$ is of the form:*

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p, q) = \Phi(q).p + \Psi(q),$$

where $\Phi(q), \Psi(q)$ are C^∞ -functions on $\mathbb{R}$.

ii. *The Kirillov form (17) is*

$$\omega = dp \wedge dq \tag{18}$$

Proof.

i. The diffeomorphism ψ will be chosen case by case.

1. Case G$_{4,1,1}$ and Ω_F defined by (1).
   We chose the diffeomorphism:

$$\psi : \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_F; \quad (p, q) \longmapsto (q, \beta, \gamma, p).$$

Then,

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p, q) = dp + (aq + b\beta + c\gamma). \tag{19}$$

2. Case G$_{4,1,2}$ and Ω_F defined by (2).Then we take

$$\psi : \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_F; \quad (p, q) \longmapsto (\alpha, \beta, \gamma e^q, p),$$

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p, q) = dp + (c\gamma e^q + a\alpha + b\beta). \tag{20}$$

3. Case G$_{4,2,1(\lambda)}$ and Ω_F defined by (3).

$$\psi : \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_F; \quad (p, q) \longmapsto (\alpha, \beta e^{q\lambda}, \gamma e^q, p),$$

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p, q) = dp + (c\gamma e^q + a\alpha + b\beta e^{q\lambda}). \tag{21}$$

4. Case $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,2}$ and Ω_F defined by (4).

$$\psi: \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_F; \quad (p,q) \longmapsto (\alpha, \beta e^q, \beta q e^q + \gamma e^q, p),$$

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p,q) = dp + c\beta q e^q + (b\beta + c\gamma)e^q + a\alpha. \tag{22}$$

5. Case $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,3(\varphi),\varphi\neq\frac{\pi}{2}}$ and Ω_F defined by (5).

$$\psi: \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_F; \quad (p,q) \longmapsto (\alpha, (\beta + i\gamma)e^{qe^{i\varphi}}, p),$$

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p,q) = dp + (b + ic)(\beta + i\gamma)e^{qe^{i\varphi}} + a\alpha. \tag{23}$$

6. Case $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$ and Ω_F defined by (7).

$$\psi: \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_F; \quad (p,q) \longmapsto (\alpha e^{q\lambda_1}, \beta e^{q\lambda_2}, \gamma e^q, p),$$

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p,q) = dp + a\alpha e^{q\lambda_1} + b\beta e^{q\lambda_2} + c\gamma e^q. \tag{24}$$

7. Case $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,2(\lambda)}$ and Ω_F defined by (8).

$$\psi: \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_F; \quad (p,q) \longmapsto (\alpha e^{q\lambda}, \alpha q e^{q\lambda} + \beta e^{q\lambda}, \gamma e^q, p),$$

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p,q) = dp + (a\alpha + bq\alpha + b\beta)e^{q\lambda} + c\gamma e^q. \tag{25}$$

8. Case $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,3}$ and Ω_F defined by (9).

$$\psi: \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_F; \quad (p,q) \longmapsto (\alpha e^q, \alpha q e^q + \beta e^q, \frac{1}{2}\alpha q^2 e^q + \beta q e^q + \gamma e^q, p),$$

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p,q) = dp + (a\alpha + b\alpha + b\beta + \frac{1}{2}c\alpha q^2 + c\beta q + c\gamma)e^q. \tag{26}$$

9. Case $\mathrm{G}_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}, \varphi \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and Ω_F defined by (10).

$$\psi: \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_F; \quad (p,q) \longmapsto ((\alpha + i\beta)e^{qe^{i\varphi}}, \gamma e^{q\lambda}, p),$$

$$\widetilde{A} \circ \psi(p,q) = dp + (a + ib)(\alpha + i\beta)e^{qe^{i\varphi}} + c\gamma e^{q\lambda}. \tag{27}$$

10. Case $\mathrm{G}_{4,4,2} = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3$ and
10.1 Ω_F defined by (13).

$$(p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \psi(p,q) = (\alpha e^{-q}, 0, 0, p) \in \Omega_F,$$

$$\tilde{A} \circ \psi(p,q) = dp + a\alpha e^{-q}. \tag{28}$$

10.2 Ω_F defined by (14).

$$(p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \psi(p,q) = (0, \beta e^q, 0, p) \in \Omega_F,$$

$$\tilde{A} \circ \psi(p,q) = dp + b\beta e^q. \tag{29}$$

10.3 Ω_F defined by (15).

$$(p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \psi(p,q) = (\alpha e^{-q}, \beta e^q, 0, p) \in \Omega_F,$$

$$\tilde{A}\circ\psi(p,q) = dp + a\alpha e^{-q} + b\beta e^{q}. \tag{30}$$

10.4 Ω_F defined by (16) .

$$(p,q)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \psi(p,q) = (e^{-q}, (\alpha\beta+\gamma p-\gamma\delta)e^{q}, \gamma, p)\in\Omega_F,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}\circ\psi(p,q) &= ae^{-q} + b(\alpha\beta+\gamma p-\gamma\delta)e^{q} + c\gamma + dp = \\ &= (d+b\gamma e^{q})p + ae^{-q} + b(\alpha\beta-\gamma\delta)e^{q} + c\gamma.\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

(see [H3] for more detail).

ii. We prove the Kirillov form on Ω_F is $\omega = dp\wedge dq$, namely for the case $G_{4,2,3(\varphi)}, \varphi\neq\frac{\pi}{2}$.

From the Hamiltonian function $\tilde{A}\circ\psi(p,q)$ we have

$$\xi_A(f) = \{\tilde{A}, f\} = d\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} - (b+ic)(\beta+i\gamma)e^{i\varphi}e^{qe^{i\varphi}}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p},$$

with $A = aX + bY + cZ + dT \in \mathfrak{g}_{4,2,3(\varphi)}$

$$\xi_B(f) = \{\tilde{B}, f\} = d'\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} - (b'+ic')(\beta+i\gamma)e^{i\varphi}e^{qe^{i\varphi}}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p},$$

with $B = a'X + b'Y + c'Z + d'T \in \mathfrak{g}_{4,2,3(\varphi)}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_A\otimes\xi_B = dd'\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + (b+ic)(b'+ic')(\beta+i\gamma)^2e^{2i\varphi}e^{2qe^{i\varphi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial p} + \\ +[(db'-d'b)+i(dc'-d'c)](\beta+i\gamma)e^{i\varphi}e^{qe^{i\varphi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\langle F', [A,B]\rangle = [(db'-d'b)+i(dc'-d'c)](\beta+i\gamma)e^{i\varphi}e^{qe^{i\varphi}}$.

This implies (18). The other cases are proved similarly.

The proposition is hence completely proved. □

DEFINITION 3.1.2. *Each chart (Ω_F, ψ^{-1}) satisfying 1. and 2. of the Proposition* (3.1.1) *is called an adapted chart on Ω_F.*

3.2. **Computation of operators $\hat{\ell}_A$.** Since $\widetilde{A}\circ\psi(p,q) = \Phi(q).p + \Psi(q)$, for $A\in\mathfrak{g}$, one can prove that :

$$P^r(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) = 0 \quad \forall r\geq 3, \forall A, B\in\mathfrak{g}.$$

From this we have the following proposition

Proposition 3.2.1. *With $A, B\in\mathfrak{g}$, the Moyal $\star$-product satisfies the relation:*

$$i\tilde{A}\star i\tilde{B} - i\tilde{B}\star i\tilde{A} = i\widetilde{[A,B]} \tag{32}$$

For each $A\in\mathfrak{g}$ and the corresponding Hamiltonian function $\tilde{A}$, we denote by ℓ_A the operator acting on dense space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \frac{dpdq}{2\pi})$ of smooth function by left $\star$-multiplication by $i\tilde{A}$, i.e $\ell_A(f) = i\tilde{A}\star f$. The relation in the Proposition (3.2.1) gives us

Corollary 3.2.2.

$$\ell_{[A,B]} = \ell_A \star \ell_B - \ell_B \star \ell_A := [\ell_A, \ell_B]^\star \tag{33}$$

This implies that the corresponding $A \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \ell_A = i\tilde{A} \star .$ is a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on the space $C^\infty(\Omega_F)[[\frac{i}{2}]]$ of formal power series.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{F}_p(f)$ the partial Fourier transform (define on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dpdq/2\pi)$, for example) of the function f from the variable p to the variable x (see e.g [MV]), i.e

$$\mathcal{F}_p(f)(x,q) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ipx} f(p,q)dp$$

and $\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)(p,q)$ the inverse Fourier transform.

We have following obvious identities:

Lemma 3.2.3. *With* $f, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dpdq/2\pi)$

i. $\partial_p \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f) = i\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(x.f)$
ii. $\mathcal{F}_p(p.\varphi) = i\partial_x \mathcal{F}_p(\varphi)$
iii. $P^r(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)) = (-1)^r \partial_q^r(\Psi)\partial_p^r \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f) \quad \forall r \geq 2.$

Now we denote $\hat{\ell}_A := \mathcal{F}_p \circ \ell_A \circ \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}$ with $A \in \mathfrak{g}$.

DEFINITION 3.2.4. *Let Ω_F be K-orbit of co-adjoint representations of Lie group* G*. With A running over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie(G)}$, $(\Omega_F, \hat{\ell}_A)$ is called quantum co-adjoint orbits of Lie group* G*.*

Theorem 3.2.5. *For each $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and for each compactly supported C^∞-function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have*

$$\hat{\ell}_A(f) = \Phi(q - \frac{x}{2})(\frac{1}{2}\partial_q - \partial_x)f + i\Psi(q - \frac{x}{2})f$$

and setting new variables $s = q - \frac{x}{2}, t = q + \frac{x}{2}$, then

$$\hat{\ell}_A(f) = \Phi(s)\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + i\Psi(s)f|_{(s,t)} \quad \textit{i.e:} \quad \hat{\ell}_A = [\Phi(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + i\Psi(s)]|_{(s,t)} \tag{34}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that:

$$P^0(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)) = [\Phi(q).p + \Psi(q)]\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)$$
$$P^1(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)) = \Phi(q)\partial_q \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f) - [p\partial_q \Phi(q) + \partial_q \Psi(q)]\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)$$
$$P^r(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)) = (-1)^r \partial_q^r \Psi \partial_p^r \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f) \quad \forall r \geq 2.$$

From this and lemma (3.2.3), we have:

$$\hat{\ell}_A(f) = \mathcal{F}_p \circ \ell_A \circ \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f) = i\mathcal{F}_p(\tilde{A} \star \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)) = i\mathcal{F}_p\left(\sum_{r\geq 0}\left(\frac{1}{2i}\right)^r \frac{1}{r!} P^r(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f))\right) =$$

$$= i\mathcal{F}_p\{[\Phi(q).p + \Psi(q)]\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f) + \frac{1}{1!}\frac{1}{2i}(\Phi(q)\partial_q \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f) - [p\partial_q \Phi(q) + \partial_q \Psi(q)]\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)) + \cdots +$$

$$+\frac{1}{r!}(\frac{1}{2i})^r(-1)^r\partial_q^r\Psi\partial_p^r\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f))+\dots\}=\Phi(q-\frac{x}{2})(\frac{1}{2}\partial_q-\partial_x)f+i\Psi(q-\frac{x}{2})f$$

Theorem is proveed. ☐

As a direct consequence of symbol $\hat{\ell}_A$, we have

Corollary 3.2.6. $\forall A, B\in\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\hat{\ell}_A\circ\hat{\ell}_B-\hat{\ell}_B\circ\hat{\ell}_A=\hat{\ell}_{[A,B]}$$

From theorems 2.0.3 and 3.2.5 we obtained the quantum half planes ,the quantum planes, the quantum hyperbolic cylinders, quantum hyperbolic paraboloids ... of the corresponding groups. At the same time, we have also unitary representations of these groups :

$$T(expA)=\exp(\hat{\ell}_A)=\exp\Big([\Phi(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+i\Psi(s)]|_{(s,t)}\Big).$$

4. THE CASE OF GROUPS $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,3(\frac{\pi}{2})}, \mathrm{G}_{4,2,4}, \mathrm{G}_{4,3,4(\frac{\pi}{2})}, \mathrm{G}_{4,4,1}$.

4.1. **The local diffeomorphisms.** For the Lie group of affine transformations of the complex straight line $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,4}=\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C})$ (see [DH2]), we replaced the global diffeomorphism ψ by a local diffeomorphism and obtained

Proposition 4.1.1. *Fixing the local diffeomorphism* $\psi_k(k\in\mathbb{Z})$

$$\psi_k:\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{H}_k\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}_k$$

$$(z,w)\longmapsto(z,e^w),$$

we have

1. *For any element* $A\in\mathrm{aff}(\mathbb{C})$*, the corresponding Hamiltonian function* $\widetilde{A}$ *in local coordinates* (z,w) *of the orbit* Ω_F *is of the form*

$$\widetilde{A}\circ\psi_k(z,w)=\frac{1}{2}[\alpha z+\beta e^w+\overline{\alpha z}+\overline{\beta}e^{\overline{w}}]$$

2. *In local coordinates* (z,w) *of the orbit* Ω_F*, the Kirillov form* ω *is of the form*

$$\omega=\frac{1}{2}[dz\wedge dw+d\overline{z}\wedge d\overline{w}].$$

Analogously, for the groups $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,3(\varphi)}, \mathrm{G}_{4,3,4(\varphi)}$ with $\varphi=\frac{\pi}{2}$, we also replace the global diffeomorphism ψ by a local diffeomorphism $\psi_k(k\in\mathbb{Z})$.

- For $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}_{4,2,3(\frac{\pi}{2})}$; $\Omega_F=\{(\alpha,(\beta+i\gamma)e^{is},t)|s,t\in\mathbb{R}\}$,

$$\psi_k:\mathbb{R}\times(2k\pi,2\pi+2k\pi)\longmapsto\Omega_F.$$

$$(p,q)\longmapsto(\alpha,(\beta+i\gamma)e^{iq},p)$$

Then the corresponding Hamiltonian fuction is $\tilde{A}\circ\psi_k(p,q)=dp+(b+ic)(\beta+i\gamma)e^{iq}+a\alpha$.

- For G $= G_{4,3,4(\frac{\pi}{2})}$; $\quad \Omega_F = \{((\alpha+i\beta)e^{is}, \gamma e^{\lambda s}, t) | s,t \in \mathbb{R}\}$,

$$\psi_k : \mathbb{R} \times (2k\pi, 2\pi + 2k\pi) \longmapsto \Omega_F.$$
$$(p,q) \longmapsto ((\alpha+i\beta)e^{iq}, \gamma e^{q\lambda}, p)$$

We have $\tilde{A} \circ \psi_k(p,q) = dp + (a+ib)(\alpha+i\beta)e^{iq} + c\gamma e^{q\lambda}$.

At last, for the Lie group $\mathrm{G}_{4,4,1} = \mathbb{R} \ltimes_j \mathbb{H}_3$, which is not exponential group, we obtain

Proposition 4.1.2. *Let us denote* $\mathbf{I}_k = (2k\pi, 2\pi + 2k\pi), k \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Each non-trivial orbit* Ω_F *(in* $\mathfrak{g}^*$*) of co-adjoint representation of* $G_{4,4,1}$ *admits local charts* $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{I}_k, \psi_k^{-1})$ *or* $(\mathbb{R}_\pm \times \mathbf{I}_k, \psi_k^{-1})$ *such that:*

1. *If* Ω_F *is defined by (11) and* $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{4,4,1}$, *then*

$$\tilde{A} \circ \psi_k(p,q) = dp + \frac{1}{2}[a(\alpha+i\beta) + b(\beta - i\alpha)]e^{iq} + \frac{1}{2}[a(\alpha - i\beta) + b(\beta + i\alpha)]e^{-iq}$$
$$= dp + (a\alpha + b\beta)cosq + (b\alpha - a\beta)sinq \tag{35}$$

and the Kirillov form then is $\omega = dp \wedge dq$.

2. *If* Ω_F *is defined by (12) and* $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{4,4,1}$, *then*

$$\tilde{A} \circ \psi_k(p,q) = \frac{d}{2\gamma}p^2 + [\frac{a}{2}(e^{iq} + e^{-iq}) + \frac{b}{2i}(e^{iq} - e^{-iq})]p + c\gamma + d\delta - d\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{2\gamma}$$
$$= \frac{d}{2\gamma}p^2 + (acosq + bsinq)p + c\gamma + d\delta - d\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{2\gamma} \tag{36}$$

and we have the Kirillov form to be $\omega = \frac{\gamma}{p} dp \wedge dq$.

Proof. **1.** We consider the following diffeomorphism:

$$\psi_k : \qquad \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{I}_k \longrightarrow \Omega_F$$
$$(p,q) \mapsto (\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+i\beta)e^{iq} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - i\beta)e^{-iq}; \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i\alpha)e^{iq} + \frac{1}{2}(\beta + i\alpha)e^{-iq}; 0; p)$$

With each $F' \in \Omega_F$,

$$F' = [\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+i\beta)e^{iq} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - i\beta)e^{-iq}]X^* + [\frac{1}{2}(\beta - i\alpha)e^{iq} + \frac{1}{2}(\beta+i\alpha)e^{-iq}]Y^* + pT^*$$

and $A = aX + bY + cZ + dT \in \mathfrak{g}_{4,4,1}$, we have

$$\tilde{A}(F') = \langle F', A\rangle = \frac{a}{2}[(\alpha+i\beta)e^{iq} + (\alpha - i\beta)e^{-iq}] + \frac{b}{2}[(\beta - i\alpha)e^{iq} + (\beta+i\alpha)e^{-iq}] + dp.$$

It follows that

$$\xi_A(f) = d\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} - \frac{i}{2}[a(\alpha+i\beta) + b(\beta - i\alpha)]e^{iq} + \frac{i}{2}[a(\alpha - i\beta) + b(\beta + i\alpha)]e^{-iq}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}.$$

By analogy,

$$\xi_B(f) = d'\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} - \frac{i}{2}[a'(\alpha+i\beta) + b'(\beta - i\alpha)]e^{iq} + \frac{i}{2}[a'(\alpha - i\beta) + b'(\beta + i\alpha)]e^{-iq}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}.$$

Thus,

(37)

$$\xi_A \otimes \xi_B = dd'\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q} - \frac{1}{4}\{[a'(\alpha + i\beta) + b'(\beta - i\alpha)]e^{iq} - [a'(\alpha - i\beta) + b'(\beta + i\alpha)]e^{-iq}\}$$

$$\times\{[a(\alpha+i\beta)+b(\beta-i\alpha)]e^{iq}-[a(\alpha-i\beta)+b(\beta+i\alpha)]e^{-iq}\}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial p}+\frac{1}{2}\{[(db'-d'b)(\alpha+i\beta)+$$

$$+(ad' - a'd)(\beta - i\alpha)]e^{iq} + [(db' - d'b)(\alpha - i\beta) + (ad' - a'd)(\beta + i\alpha)]e^{-iq}\}\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$$

On the other hand, $[A, B] = (db' - d'b)X + (ad' - a'd)Y + (ab' - a'b)Z$ implies

(38) $$\langle F', [A, B]\rangle = \frac{1}{2}[(db' - d'b)(\alpha + i\beta) + (ad' - a'd)(\beta - i\alpha)]e^{iq} +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}[(db' - d'b)(\alpha - i\beta) + (ad' - a'd)(\beta + i\alpha)]e^{-iq} = \frac{1}{2}\{[(db' - d'b)(\alpha + i\beta)+$$

$$+(ad' - a'd)(\beta - i\alpha)]e^{iq} + [(db' - d'b)(\alpha - i\beta) + (ad' - a'd)(\beta + i\alpha)]e^{-iq}$$

(37) and (38) imply that the Kirillov form is $\omega = dp \wedge dq$.

2.For the case $\gamma \neq 0$ we chose

$$\psi_k : \qquad (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbf{I}_k) \longrightarrow \Omega_F$$

$$(p, q) \longmapsto (pcosq, psinq, \gamma, \frac{1}{2\gamma}(p^2 + 2\gamma\delta - \alpha^2 - \beta^2))$$

$$(\text{or} \quad \psi_k : \qquad (\mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbf{I}_k) \longrightarrow \Omega_F)\ .$$

Then, $\forall F' \in \Omega_F, \quad F' = pcosqX^* + psinqY^* + \gamma Z^* + \frac{1}{2\gamma}(p^2 + 2\gamma\delta - \alpha^2 - \beta^2)T^*$ and $A = aX + bY + cZ + dT \in \mathfrak{g}_{4,4,1}$, we have

$$\tilde{A} \circ \psi_{(U,k)} = apcosq + bpsinq + c\gamma + \frac{d}{2\gamma}(p^2 + 2\gamma\delta - \alpha^2 - \beta^2) =$$

$$= \frac{d}{2\gamma}p^2 + [\frac{a}{2}(e^{iq} + e^{-iq}) + \frac{b}{2i}(e^{iq} - e^{-iq})]p + c\gamma + d\delta - d\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{2\gamma}.$$

It follows that

$$\xi_A(f) = [\frac{d}{\gamma}p + \frac{1}{2i}((ai + b)e^{iq} + (ai - b)e^{-iq})]\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} - \frac{p}{2}[(ai + b)e^{iq} - (ai - b)e^{-iq}]\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}.$$

By analogy,

$$\xi_B(f) = [\frac{d'}{\gamma}p + \frac{1}{2i}((a'i + b')e^{iq} + (a'i - b')e^{-iq})]\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} - \frac{p}{2}[(a'i + b')e^{iq} - (a'i - b')e^{-iq}]\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}.$$

Thus,

(39)

$$\xi_A \otimes \xi_B = \frac{p}{2}[(ai + b)e^{iq} - (ai - b)e^{-iq}\frac{p}{2}[(a'i + b')e^{iq} - (a'i - b')e^{-iq}]\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial p} +$$

$$+[\frac{d}{\gamma}p+\frac{1}{2i}((ai+b)e^{iq}+(ai-b)e^{-iq})][\frac{d'}{\gamma}p+\frac{1}{2i}((a'i+b')e^{iq}+(a'i-b')e^{-iq})]\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial q}+$$
$$+\left\{\frac{p^2}{2\gamma}\left[\Big((da'-d'a)i+(db'-d'b)\Big)e^{iq}-\Big((da'-d'a)i-(db'-d'b)\Big)e^{-iq}\right]+p(ab'-a'b)\right\}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial q}.$$

On the other hands,

$$\langle F',[A,B]\rangle = (db'-d'b)pcosq+(ad'-a'd)psinq+(db'-d'b)\gamma = \tag{40}$$
$$=\frac{p}{2}\Big[\big((a'd-d'a)i+(db'-d'b)\big)e^{iq}-\big((da'-d'a)i-(db'-d'b)\big)e^{-iq}\Big]+\gamma(ab'-a'b).$$

From (39) and (40) we see that (17) is of the form $\omega=\frac{\gamma}{p}dp\wedge dq$. □

4.2. **Computation of operators $\hat{\ell}_A^{(k)}$.** It is easy to prove that,

- If $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}_{4,2,3(\frac{\pi}{2})}$ then
$$\hat{\ell}_A^{(k)}=\Big(d\frac{\partial}{\partial_s}+i(b+ic)(\beta+i\gamma)e^{is}+a\alpha\Big)|_{(s,t)}$$
- If $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}_{4,3,4(\frac{\pi}{2})}$ then
$$\hat{\ell}_A^{(k)}=\Big(d\frac{\partial}{\partial_s}+i(a+ib)(\alpha+i\beta)e^{is}+c\gamma e^{s\lambda}\Big)|_{(s,t)}$$

In [DH2], we proved the following result for $\mathrm{G}_{4,2,4}$

Let $\mathcal{F}_z$(f) denote the partial Fourier transform of the function f from the variable $z=p_1+ip_2$ to the variable $\xi=\xi_1+i\xi_2$, i.e:

$$\mathcal{F}_z(f)(\xi,w)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\iint_{R^2}e^{-iRe(\xi\overline{z})}f(z,w)dp_1dp_2$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_z^{-1}(f)(z,w)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\iint_{R^2}e^{iRe(\xi\overline{z})}f(\xi,w)d\xi_1d\xi_2$$

the inverse Fourier transform.

Theorem 4.2.1. *(see* [DH2]*,Proposition 3.4)*

For each $A=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{aff}(\mathbb{C})$ *and for each compactly supported* C^∞*-function* $f\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{H}_k)$*, we have:*

$$\hat{\ell}_A^{(k)}(f):=\mathcal{F}_z\circ\ell_A^{(k)}\circ\mathcal{F}_z^{-1}(f)= \tag{41}$$
$$=[\alpha(\frac{1}{2}\partial_w-\partial_{\overline{\xi}})f+\overline{\alpha}(\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\overline{w}}-\partial_\xi)f+\frac{i}{2}(\beta e^{w-\frac{1}{2}\overline{\xi}}+\overline{\beta}e^{\overline{w}-\frac{1}{2}\xi})f]$$

i.e

$$\hat{\ell}_A^{(k)}=\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\overline{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{u}}+\frac{i}{2}(\beta e^u+\overline{\beta}e^{\overline{u}});\quad u=w-\frac{1}{2}\overline{\xi};v=w+\frac{1}{2}\overline{\xi}.$$

Now we consider group $\mathrm{G}_{4,4,1}$:

Theorem 4.2.2. *For each $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{4,4,1}$ and for each compactly supported C^∞-function $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{I}_k)$, we have:*

1. *If $\tilde{A}$ is defined by (35) then*

$$\hat{\ell}_A^{(k)}(f) = \Big(d\partial_s f + i[(a\alpha + b\beta)coss + (b\alpha - a\beta)sins]f\Big)|_{(s,t)}$$

2. *If $\tilde{A}$ is defined by (36) then*

$$\hat{\ell}_A^{(k)}(f) = i.\Big([\frac{d}{2\gamma}(i\partial_x + \frac{1}{2\gamma}\partial_x\partial_q)^2]f + \Gamma.f\Big)|_{(x,q)} -$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\partial_x[(a-bi)\Delta(f) + (a+bi)\Delta^{-1}(f)] + \frac{i}{2\gamma}\partial_x[(a-bi)\Theta(f) + (a+bi)\Theta^{-1}(f)]\Big)|_{(x,q)}$$

where

$$\Gamma = c\gamma + d\delta - d\frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{2\gamma}$$

$$\Delta(f) = \exp\big[iq + \partial_x((\frac{x}{2\gamma}).f)\big] = e^{iq}.\sum_{r=0} \frac{1}{r!}\frac{\partial^r}{\partial_{x^r}}\left((\frac{x}{2\gamma})^r.f\right)$$

$$\Theta(f) = \exp\big[iq + \partial_x((\frac{x}{2\gamma}).\partial_q f)\big] = e^{iq}.\sum_{r=0} \frac{1}{r!}\frac{\partial^r}{\partial_{x^r}}\left((\frac{x}{2\gamma})^r.\partial_q f\right).$$

To prove the theorem, we need the following obvious lemma, which is a direct conseqnence of the definition of $\mathcal{F}_p$ and $\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.2.3. *With* $\forall r \geq 1$

- $\partial_{p^r}^r\Big(\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)\Big) = i^r\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(x^r.f)$
- $\mathcal{F}_p\Big(p^r\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)\Big) = i^r\partial_{x^r}^r(f)$
- $\mathcal{F}_p\Big(p^r\partial_{p^{r-1}}^{r-1}\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)\Big) = i^{2r-1}\partial_{x^r}^r(x^{r-1}.f).$

□

Proof.

First case is proved like as Theorem 3.2.5. We prove only the second case.

One can write (36) as

$$\tilde{A}\circ\psi(p,q) = \frac{d}{2\gamma}p^2 + \frac{p}{2}[(a-bi)e^{iq} + (a+bi)e^{-iq}] + c\gamma + d\delta - d\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{2\gamma}$$

and remark that in the coordinates (p,q) correspond to the form $\omega = \frac{\gamma}{p}dp \wedge dq$,

$$\Lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{p}{\gamma} \\ -\frac{p}{\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denoting $\mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f) = v$, we have

$$P^0 = \Big\{ \frac{d}{2\gamma}p^2 + \frac{p}{2}[(a-bi)e^{iq} + (a+bi)e^{-iq}] + c\gamma + d\delta - d\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{2\gamma} \Big\} v$$

$$P^1 = [\frac{dp^2}{\gamma^2} + \frac{p}{2\gamma}((a-bi)e^{iq} + (a+bi)e^{-iq})]\partial_q v - \frac{ip^2}{2\gamma}[(a-bi)e^{iq} - (a+bi)e^{-iq}]\partial_p v$$

$$P^2 = \frac{1}{2\gamma^2}\{i^2[(a-bi)e^{iq}+(a+bi)e^{-iq}]p^3\partial^2_{p^2}v - 2i[(a-bi)e^{iq}-(a+bi)e^{-iq}]p^2\partial^2_{pq}v\} + \frac{dp^2}{\gamma^3}\partial^2_{q^2}v$$

$$P^3 = \frac{1}{2\gamma^3}\{(-i)^3[(a-bi)e^{iq}-(a+bi)e^{-iq}]p^4\partial^3_{p^3}v + 3(-i)^2[(a-bi)e^{iq}+(a+bi)e^{-iq}]p^3\partial^3_{p^2q}v\}$$

$$P^4 = \frac{1}{2\gamma^4}\{(-i)^4[(a-bi)e^{iq}+(a+bi)e^{-iq}]p^5\partial^4_{p^4}v + 4(-i)^3[(a-bi)e^{iq}-(a+bi)e^{-iq}]p^4\partial^4_{p^3q}v\}$$

By analogy, for $\forall r \geq 4$ we have

$$P^r = \frac{1}{2\gamma^r}\Big((-i)^r[(a-bi)e^{iq} + (-1)^r(a+bi)e^{-iq}]p^{r+1}\partial^r_{p^r}v\Big) +$$
$$+ \frac{r(-i)^{r-1}}{2\gamma^r}\Big([(a-bi)e^{iq} + (-1)^{r-1}(a+bi)e^{-iq}]p^r\partial^r_{p^{r-1}q}v\Big).$$

As $\tilde{A}$ is defined by (36), we obtain:

(42)

$$\hat{\ell}^{(k)}_A(f) := \mathcal{F}_p \circ \ell_A \circ \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f) = \mathcal{F}_p(i\tilde{A} \star \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)) = i\sum_{r\geq 0}\Big(\frac{1}{2i}\Big)^r \mathcal{F}_p\Big(P^r(\tilde{A}, \mathcal{F}_p^{-1}(f)\Big) =$$

$$= i(c\gamma + d\delta - d\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{2\gamma})\mathcal{F}_p v + i\Big[\mathcal{F}_p(\frac{d}{2\gamma}p^2v) + \frac{1}{1!}\frac{1}{2i}\mathcal{F}_p(\frac{d}{\gamma^2}p^2\partial_q v) + \frac{1}{2!}\Big(\frac{1}{2i}\Big)^2\mathcal{F}_p(\frac{d}{\gamma^3}p^2\partial^2_{q^2}v)\Big] +$$

$$+ \sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{1}{r!}\Big(\frac{1}{2i}\Big)^r\frac{1}{2\gamma^r}\Big\{(-i)^r[(a-bi)e^{iq} + (-1)^r(a+bi)e^{-iq}]\mathcal{F}_p(p^{r+1}\partial^r_{p^r}v) + r(-i)^{r-1}[(a-bi)e^{iq} +$$

$$+ (-1)^{r-1}(a+bi)e^{-iq}]\mathcal{F}_p(p^r\partial^r_{p^{r-1}q}v)\Big\} = i(c\gamma + d\delta - d\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{2\gamma})f + i\frac{d}{2\gamma}(i\partial_x + \frac{1}{2\gamma}\partial_x\partial_q)^2 f +$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2}\sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{1}{r!}\Big(\frac{-1}{2\gamma}\Big)^r(a-bi)e^{iq}\mathcal{F}_p(p^{r+1}\partial^r_{p^r}v) + \frac{i}{2}\sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{1}{r!}\Big(\frac{1}{2\gamma}\Big)^r(a+bi)e^{-iq}\mathcal{F}_p(p^{r+1}\partial^r_{p^r}v) +$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{\gamma}\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{1}{(r-1)!}\Big(\frac{-1}{2\gamma}\Big)^{r-1}\frac{1}{2i}(a-bi)e^{iq}\mathcal{F}_p(p^r\partial^r_{p(r-1)_q}v) + \frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{\gamma}\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{1}{(r-1)!}\Big(\frac{1}{2\gamma}\Big)^{r-1}\frac{1}{2i}(a+bi)$$

$$\times e^{-iq}\mathcal{F}_p(p^r\partial^r_{p(r-1)_q}v) = i[c\gamma + d\delta - d\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{2\gamma} + i\frac{d}{2\gamma}(i\partial_x + \frac{1}{2\gamma}\partial_x\partial_q)^2]f + \frac{i}{2}(a-bi)e^{iq}$$

$$\times \sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{1}{r!}\Big(\frac{-1}{2\gamma}\Big)^r i^{2r+1}\partial^{r+1}_{x^{r+1}}(x^r.f) + \frac{i}{2}(a+bi)e^{-iq}\sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{1}{r!}\Big(\frac{1}{2\gamma}\Big)^r i^{2r+1}\partial^{r+1}_{x^{r+1}}(x^r.f) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{4\gamma}(a-bi)e^{iq}\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{1}{(r-1)!}\Big(\frac{-1}{2\gamma}\Big)^{r-1}i^{2r-1}\partial^{r-1}_{p^{r-1}}(x^{r-1}.\partial_q f)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{4\gamma}(a+bi)e^{-iq}\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{1}{(r-1)!}\Big(\frac{1}{2\gamma}\Big)^{r-1}i^{2r-1}\partial^{r-1}_{p^{r-1}}(x^{r-1}.\partial_q f)=$$

$$=i[c\gamma+d\delta-d\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{2\gamma}+i\frac{d}{2\gamma}(i\partial_x+\frac{1}{2\gamma}\partial_x\partial_q)^2]f-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x\Big[(a-bi)e^{iq}.\sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{1}{r!}\Big(\frac{1}{2\gamma}\Big)^{r}\partial^{r}_{x^r}(x^r.f)+$$

$$+(a+bi)e^{-iq}.\sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{1}{r!}\Big(\frac{-1}{2\gamma}\Big)^{r}\partial^{r}_{x^r}(x^r.f)+\frac{i}{2\gamma}(a-bi)e^{iq}.\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{1}{(r-1)!}\Big(\frac{1}{2\gamma}\Big)^{r-1}\partial^{r-1}_{x^{r-1}}(x^{r-1}.\partial_q f)+$$

$$+\frac{i}{2\gamma}(a+bi)e^{-iq}.\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{1}{(r-1)!}\Big(\frac{-1}{2\gamma}\Big)^{r-1}\partial^{r-1}_{x^{r-1}}(x^{r-1}.\partial_q f)\Big]=$$

$$=i[c\gamma+d\delta-d\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{2\gamma}+i\frac{d}{2\gamma}(i\partial_x+\frac{1}{2\gamma}\partial_x\partial_q)^2]f-$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x\Big((a-bi)e^{iq}e^{\partial_x((\frac{x}{2\gamma}).f)}+(a+bi)e^{-iq}e^{\partial_x((\frac{-x}{2\gamma}).f)}\Big)-$$

$$-\frac{i}{4\gamma}\partial_x\Big((a-bi)e^{iq}e^{\partial_x((\frac{x}{2\gamma}).\partial_q f)}+(a+bi)e^{iq}e^{\partial_x((\frac{-x}{2\gamma}).\partial_q f)}\Big)=$$

$$=i[c\gamma+d\delta-d\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{2\gamma}+\frac{d}{2\gamma}(i\partial_x+\frac{1}{2\gamma}\partial_x\partial_q)^2]f-$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x\Bigg((a-bi)\exp\big[iq+\partial_x((\frac{x}{2\gamma}).f)\big]+(a+bi)\exp\big[-iq+\partial_x((\frac{-x}{2\gamma}).f)\big]\Bigg)-$$

$$-\frac{i}{4\gamma}\partial_x\Bigg((a-bi)\exp\big[iq+\partial_x((\frac{x}{2\gamma}).\partial_q f)\big]+(a+bi)\exp\big[-iq+\partial_x((\frac{-x}{2\gamma}).\partial_q f)\big]\Bigg)$$

The theorem is therefore completely proved. □

Thus, we obtained all the operators $\hat{\ell}_A$; $\hat{\ell}^k_A$, which provides (global or local) representations of the MD$_4$-algebras. At last, as $\hat{\ell}_A$, $\hat{\ell}^k_A$ are representations of the MD$_4$-algebras, we have operators : $\exp(\hat{\ell}_A)$; $\exp(\hat{\ell}^k_A)$ are representations of the corresponding connected and simply connected MD$_4$-groups. We say that they are the representations of MD$_4$-groups arising from the reduction of the procedure of deformation quantization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to acknowledge the support of Seminar "Deformation Quantization and Applications" under the supervision by Professor Do Ngoc Diep and would like to thank Dr. Nguyen Viet Dung for his useful comments .

References

[AC1] D. Arnal and J. C. Cortet, *$\star$-product and representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, J. Geom. Phys., **2**(1985), No 2, 86-116.

[AC2] D. Arnal and J. C. Cortet, *Représentations * des groupes exponentiels*, J. Funct. Anal. **92**(1990), 103-135.

[Ar] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer Verlag, Berlin - New York - Heidelberg,1984.

[BF...] F.Bayen,M.,G.Fronsdai,A.Lichnerovics,and D.Sternbeimer *Deformation Theory and Quantization.* Ann.Physics 111(1978),61-151

[D] Do Ngoc Diep, *Methods of Noncommutative Geometry for Group C*-Algebras*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Vol.**416**, 1999.

[DH1] Do Ngoc Diep and Nguyen Viet Hai, *Quantum Half-Planes via Deformation Quantization*, math.QA/9905002 2 May 1999.

[DH2] Do Ngoc Diep and Nguyen Viet Hai, *Quantum Co-Adjoint Orbits of the Group of Affine Transformations of the Complex Straight Line*, math.QA/9908046 11 Aug 1999.

[F] B. Fedosov, *Deformation quantization and index theory*, Akademie der Wissenschaften Verlag 1993.

[G] S. Gutt, *Deformation quantization*, ICTP Workshop on Representation Theory of Lie groups, SMR 686/14, 1993.

[GN] I. M. Gelfand and M. A. Naimark, *Unitary representations of the group of affine transformations of the straight line*, Dokl. AN SSSR, **55**(1947), No 7, 571-574

[H3] Nguyen Viet Hai, *Quantum Co-adjoint Orbits of the Real Diamond Lie Group,* math.QA/0001046 7.Jan 2000.

[Ki1] A. A. Kirillov, *Elements of the theory of representation*, Springer Verlag, Berlin - New York - Heidelberg, 1976.

[Ki2] A. A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, Russian Math. Survey, 1962, 17-52.

[Ko] B. Kostant, *On certain unitary representations which arise from a quantization theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., **170**(1970), 237- .....

[MV] R. Meise and D. Vogt, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997.

[W] H.Weyl *Gruppentheoric und Quantenmechanik.* Hirzcl,Leipzig,1928.

[Wi] E.Winger, Phys.Rev,40(1932),749.Math.Ann,104(1931,570-578.)

Haiphong Teacher's Training College, Haiphong city, Vietnam
E-mail address: `nguyen_viet_hai@yahoo.com`